UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/527,196 | 03/10/2005 | Wataru Karasawa | 08072.0004-00000 | 1111 | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | ALMATRAHI, FARIS S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3627 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/09/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/527,196 | KARASAWA, WATARU | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| FARIS ALMATRAHI | 3627 | | |

| | FARIS ALMATRAHI | 3627 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ral (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of e appeal. Since a | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying t | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | • | - | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Same as in FInal Rejection. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ided below or appended. | in be entered and an e | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. | | • | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | , , , , , | n condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 | Faris Almatrahi Examiner Art Unit: 3627 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amendments resulted in change of scope. Therefore further search and consideration is required by Examiner.